



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/552,689

05/26/2006

William Neill White

9284.0001

3794

7812

7590

07/12/2010

CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL, LLP  
601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 1600  
Portland, OR 97204

EXAMINER

NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1783

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/12/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/552,689	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Patricia L. Nordmeyer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1783	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-16, 19, 20 and 22-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-16, 19, 20 and 22-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Repeated Rejections***

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 – 3, 6, 8 – 10, 13 – 16, 19, 26, 30, 31, 34, 35 and 37 – 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmasi et al. (USPN 6,214,443) in view of Faykish et al. (USPN 5,683,774) and Scheubner (USPN 7,250,191).

Palmasi et al. disclose a security label (Abstract, lines 1 - 2) comprising: a carrier film (Figure 1, #14); a first layer of a polymeric coating printed on the film (Figure 1, #12), the polymeric coating comprising a UV curable resin (Column 5, lines 15 - 20), and the first layer defining affixing regions providing a first pattern (Column 4, lines 1 - 9), said affixing regions being substantially devoid of the first layer of polymeric coating (Figure 1, #16); a second layer of an affixing material comprising a plurality of affixing pigmented polymeric coatings to provide a second pattern on the label (Figure 1, ##16; Column 5, lines 15 - 20); wherein regions of the second layer adhere to the carrier film via the affixing regions of the first layer (Figure 3, #16; Column 3, lines 51 - 67); and the security label further comprises an adhesive to adhere the label to a support (Figure 1, #20) as in claim 1. With regards to claim 3, when the substrate is removed from the carrier film, the affixing portion of the second layer remains adhered to the

Art Unit: 1783

carrier film (Figure 3, #16). With regards to claims 6 and 8 - 10, the carrier film comprises a plastic material, any other suitable polymeric material or paper, a light transmitting material and is transparent or translucent (Column 5, lines 21 - 23). Regarding claims 13 - 16, the first layer is formed of a light transmissive material, is transparent or translucent, clear and comprises a polymeric material (Column 2, lines 58 - 60). In regards to claim 19, the different affixing regions of the first layer have the shape of different letters, whereby words can be formed from said letters (Column 4, lines 1 - 9). With regard to claim 26, a sealing layer is provided between the second layer and the adhesive to prevent movement of the adhesive into the second layer (Figure 1, #18). Regarding claims 30 and 31, a pattern layer is provided on the second layer and the adhesive material is provided on the pattern layer, wherein the pattern layer comprises a metallized material (Figure 1, #18). With regard to claim 34 and 35, the second layer comprises a confuse pattern region to render unreadable any matter printed on the substrate (Figure 3) and an identification layer is provided for identification purposes (Column 4, lines 1 - 9). However, Palmasi et al. fail to disclose the first layer polymeric coating containing non-affixing regions, the first layer is substantially inadherable to the carrier film, the first layer is less adherable to the carrier than the second layer, the non-affix polymeric coating and the affixing material comprising a UV rotary press ink, the UV rotary letter press ink of the first layer comprises a short chain polymeric substance having a three-dimensional lattice structure and the second material comprises a UV rotary letter press ink comprising a long chain polymeric substance, having a two-dimensional structure.

Faykish et al. teach a security laminate (Figures) having a first layer polymeric coating (Column 4, lines 40 - 47) containing non-affixing regions (Figure 1 and 2, #12), the first layer is

Art Unit: 1783

substantially inadherable to the carrier film (Figure 2, #12) and the first layer is less adherable to the carrier than the second layer (Figure 2, #12) for the purpose of causing the damage to the laminate to be in a pattern when it is removed from a document (Column 3, lines 24 – 28).

Scheubner teaches a label (Abstract) wherein a UV rotary press ink both as a non-affixing polymeric coating (Column 9, lines 26 – 50) or as an affixing material (Column 10, line 41 to Column 13, line 27) for the purpose of forming a security label wherein the location of layers make it impossible to have detachment without destroying them (Column 10, lines 7 - 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the first layer polymeric coating containing non-affixing regions and the use of UV rotary press inks in Palmasi et al. in order to cause the damage to the laminate to be in a pattern when it is removed from a document as taught by Faykish et al. and to form a security label wherein the location of layers make it impossible to have detachment without destroying them.

With regard to the limitations of the UV rotary letter press ink of the first layer comprises a short chain polymeric substance having a three-dimensional lattice structure and the second material comprises a UV rotary letter press ink comprising a long chain polymeric substance having a two-dimensional structure, Scheubner clearly teaches UV rotary press inks made from a variety of materials (Column 9, lines 26 – 50; Column 10, line 41 to Column 13, line 27). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a short chain polymeric substance having a three-dimensional lattice structure and a long chain polymeric substance having a two-dimensional structure, since it has been held to be

Art Unit: 1783

within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. MPEP 2144.07.

3. Claims 7, 20, 22 – 25, 27 – 29, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmasi et al. (USPN 6,214,443) in view of Faykish et al. (USPN 5,683,774) and Scheubner (USPN 7,250,191) as applied to claims 1 – 3, 6, 8 – 10, 13 – 16, 19, 26, 30, 31, 34, 35 and 37 – 39 above, and further in view of Banahan (USPN 6,659,507).

Palmasi et al., as modified with Faykish et al. and Scheubner, disclose the claimed security label except for a plastics material comprising polyester, the second layer comprises a plurality of pigmented polymer coatings, to allow printed matter in a desired pattern to be applied to the first layer as the second layer, the second material comprises a pigmented polymeric coating with a long chain polymeric structure, a release layer is provided on the second layer, wherein the release layer comprises a liner, including an adhesive resistant material, wherein the release layer may be provided on the adhesive layer, an adhesive is provided on the release layer, the adhesive being coated thereon and so that it can be transferred to the second layer, wherein the adhesive comprises a hot melt adhesive curable by light, the label further includes a removal layer to allow the carrier to be removed from the support, the removal layer being provided on a removal region of the second lay and the identification layer includes an activatable material which defines an identification pattern.

Art Unit: 1783

Banahan teaches a security label (Column 1, lines 11 – 12) having the carrier film comprises a plastic polyester material (Column 2, lines 64 – 67), a release layer is provided on the second layer (Figure 1, #17), the release layer comprises a liner, including an adhesive resistant material (Column 3, lines 57 – 63), the release layer may be provided on the adhesive layer (Figure 1, #16 and 17) and wherein an adhesive is provided on the release layer (Figure 1, #16), the adhesive being coated thereon and so that it can be transferred to the second layer (Figure 3, #16), wherein the adhesive comprises a hot melt adhesive curable by light (Column 3, lines 60 – 63); the label further includes a removal layer to allow the carrier to be removed from the support (Column 4, lines 10 - 21), the removal layer being provided on a removal region of the second layer (Column 4, lines 10 – 21) and includes an identification layer includes an activatable material which defines an identification pattern (Figures 4A and 4B, #12) for the purpose of making a tamper-apparent and authenticating label (Column 1, lines 11 – 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the desired materials and layers in the modified Palmasi et al. in order to make a tamper-apparent and authenticating label as taught by Banahan.

With regard to the limitations of the second layer comprises a plurality of pigmented polymer coatings, to allow printed matter in a desired pattern to be applied to the first layer as the second layer, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change the color of the second layer since a modification would have involved a mere change in the color of a layer. A change in size, color or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent unexpected results. MPEP 2144.04 (I) and (IV). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to change the color of the second layer in order to change the

Art Unit: 1783

visual attractiveness of the label. It is desirable to change the visual attractiveness of the label in order to make overall appearance more appealing to the consumer.

4. Claims 11, 12 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmasi et al. (USPN 6,214,443) in view of Faykish et al. (USPN 5,683,774), Scheubner (USPN 7,250,191) and Banahan (USPN 6,659,507) as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Gosselin et al. (USPN 5,885,677).

Palmasi et al., as modified with Faykish et al., Scheubner and Banahan, disclose the claimed security label except for the carrier film having a thickness of less than 0.2mm, preferably less than 100 microns, the thickness is in the range of substantially 25 microns to substantially 50 microns and the removal layer comprises a silica compound.

Gosselin et al. teach a security label having a carrier film made of polyester (Column 4, lines 30 – 32) having a thickness of 0.051 to 0.102 mm (Column 4, lines 39 – 40; equals substantially 50 microns) and a removal layer comprising a silica compound (Column 4, lines 43 - 49) for the purpose of forming a security label having an identifier pattern (Column 1, lines 48 - 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the carrier film having the desired thickness and a removal layer with a silica compound in the modified Palmasi et al. in order to form a security label having an identifier pattern as taught by Gosselin.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 16, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Faykish et al. does not disclose a first layer which is substantially inadherable to the carrier film only a first layer which is less adherable to the carrier film than to the emblem layer and adhesion layer, the term "substantially inadherable" allows a first layer which is less adherable to the carrier film than to the emblem layer and adhesion layer as Applicant's specification does not define the term "substantially" to say the layers are completely inadherable. Since the layers of Faykish et al. allow separation between the layers due to a first layer which is less adherable to the carrier film than to the emblem layer and adhesion layer, the layers are substantially inadherable.

In response to Applicant's argument that Scheubner does not disclose or suggest the use of a UV rotary press ink as a non-affixing polymeric coating and instead teaches merely printing onto a film surface, Scheubner teaches a label (Abstract) wherein a UV rotary press ink both as a non-affixing polymeric coating (Column 9, lines 26 – 50) or as an affixing material (Column 10, line 41 to Column 13, line 27), wherein the location of layers make it impossible to have detachment without destroying them (Column 10, lines 7 - 11). Scheubner clearly states that the formed labels make it impossible to have detachment without destroying them (Column 10, lines 7 - 11), which would make it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the UV rotary press ink acts as both a non-affixing polymeric coating or as an affixing material.

***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1783

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1783

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1783